1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner			
2	MICHAEL L. PINKERTON			
3	Deputy Commissioner ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)			
	Lead Corporations Counsel			
4	JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628) Senior Corporations Counsel			
5	Department of Corporations			
6	Department of Corporations 320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344			
Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181				
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8				
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS			
10	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of THE	) File Nos.: 603-4193; 603-4736; 603-5646; &		
13	CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS	) 605-1810		
13	COMMISSIONER,	) SETTLEMENT ACREEMENT		
14	Complainant,	) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT		
15	,	)		
16	vs.	)		
	GROWTH RESOURCE GROUP, INC.,	)		
17	GROWIN RESOURCE GROOT, INC.,	)		
18	Respondent.	_)		
19				
20	This Settlement Agreement ("Settlemen	t Agreement") is entered into by and between the		
21	California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner") and Growth Resource Group, Inc.			
22	("Growth") (hereinafter collectively "the Parties").			
	RECITALS			
23	This Settlement Agreement is made with			
24		<u> </u>		
25	A. Growth is a corporation in good standing, duly formed and existing pursuant to the			
26	laws of the State of California, and authorized to conduct business in the State of California.			
27	B. Growth is a finance lender/broker licensed by the Commissioner pursuant to the			
28	California Finance Lenders Law of the State of California (California Financial Code § 22000 et			
	seq.) ("CFLL"). Growth currently has 4 license	es issued under the CFLL; license numbers 603-4193		

603-4736, 603-5646, and 605-1810. Growth currently has all its CFLL licenses parked at 26411 Via De Anza, #496, San Juan Capistrano, California 92675. Growth is not currently conducting any business under its CFLL licenses and has not been since at least November 2006.

- C. Gladstone is the president of Growth and is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement on behalf of Growth.
- D. In March 1999, the Parties entered into a settlement agreement, wherein Growth agreed to make refunds to certain of its customers, and to escheat to the State Controller all undelivered refunds.
- E. On May 10, 2005, the Commissioner brought an administrative action against Growth seeking to revoke the CFLL licenses of Growth for failure to comply with the escheat provision of the March 1999 settlement agreement. A three-day hearing was held at the Office of Administrative Hearings in Los Angeles on April 24, 25 and 26, 2006 before Administrative Law Judge Eric Sawyer. On July 14, 2006, Administrative Law Judge Eric Sawyer issued a proposed decision suspending Growth's CFLL licenses for a period of seven days and ordering Growth to escheat the undelivered refunds to the State Controller's Office within 180 days. The Commissioner adopted the proposed decision on October 18, 2006, with an effective date of October 19, 2006 ("Decision").
- F. On May 30, 2007, the Commissioner brought the within administrative action against Growth seeking to revoke the CFLL licenses of Growth when over 180 days had elapsed since the effective date of the Decision and Growth had yet to escheat the undelivered refunds to the State Controller's Office as ordered in the Decision ("May 30, 2007 Accusation"). Growth timely filed a notice of defense and the matter is currently scheduled to go to hearing on January 8, 2009. Growth has yet to escheat the undelivered refunds to the State Controller's Office as ordered in the Decision.
- G. On November 17, 2006, Growth filed a Writ of Administrative Mandamus regarding the Decision in the Los Angeles Superior Court (Case no. BS106158). On August 30, 2007, Los Angeles Superior Court Judge David Yaffe issued a final judgment denying the writ of administrative mandamus.
- H. On September 17, 2007, Growth appealed the judgment denying the writ of administrative mandamus to the California Court of Appeal (Case no. B202359). On December 9,

2008, Division One of the California Court of Appeal, Second District issued its decision affirming in full the judgment of the trial court denying the writ of administrative mandamus. Growth has until January 20, 2009 to file for review of the Court of Appeal decision with the California Supreme Court.

I. It is the intention and desire of the Parties to resolve all pending litigation between the Parties.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and the terms and conditions set forth herein, the Parties agree as follows:

## TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. This Settlement Agreement is entered into for the purpose of judicial economy and expediency, and to avoid the expense of litigation, and possible further court proceedings.
- 2. Solely for the limited purposes of this proceeding and any future proceedings that may be initiated by or brought before the Commissioner against Growth or any of its officers, directors, and/or shareholders, Growth hereby admits the allegations contained in the May 30, 2007 Accusation. It is the intent and understanding between the parties that this Settlement Agreement, and particularly Growth's admissions herein, shall not be binding or admissible against Growth or any of its officers, directors, and/or shareholders in any action(s) brought against Growth or any of its officers, directors, and/or shareholders in any action(s) by third parties.
- 3. Growth contends that compliance with the escheat provision of the Decision is impossible in that the records necessary to determine which refunds remain undelivered are unavailable due to having been lost, stolen, destroyed, and/or their whereabouts being otherwise unknown.
- 4. The Commissioner disagrees with the contentions of Growth set forth in paragraph 3 above, and further contends that if such records are no longer available it is due to the neglect of Growth and/or other action/inaction on the part of Growth.
- 5. Growth hereby stipulates to the issuance by the Commissioner of an order revoking its CFLL licenses. The revocation order shall become effective upon the execution and delivery of this Settlement Agreement. A true and correct copy of the revocation order is attached and

incorporated as Exhibit A.

- 6. Growth acknowledges its right to an administrative hearing under California Financial Code section 22714 in connection with the revocations and hereby waives its right to a hearing, and to any reconsideration, appeal, or other rights which may be afforded pursuant to the CFLL, the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other provision of law in connection with this matter.
- 7. Growth agrees that it will not appeal the decision of the California Court of Appeal, Case no. B202359, described in Recital paragraph H above.
- 8. Growth agrees that it will not contest the validity of this Settlement Agreement on any grounds, or in any forum.
- 9. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement is intended to alter or affect the decisions and/or rulings in the litigation between the Parties described in Recital paragraphs E, G and H.
- 10. The Parties hereby acknowledge and agree that this Settlement Agreement is intended to constitute a full, final and complete resolution of the Decision and the May 30, 2007 Accusation. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that nothing contained in this Settlement Agreement shall operate to limit the Commissioner's ability to assist any other agency, (county, state or federal) with any prosecution, administrative, civil or criminal, brought by any such agency against Growth based upon any of the activities alleged in the Decision and/or May 30, 2007 Accusation or otherwise.
- 11. The Commissioner, upon execution and delivery of the Settlement Agreement by the Parties, shall cause this Settlement Agreement to be filed with the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- 12. Each of the Parties represents, warrants, and agrees that it has received independent advice from its attorney(s) and/or representatives with respect to the advisability of executing this Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Each of the Parties represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Settlement Agreement it has relied solely on the statements set forth herein and the advice of its own counsel and/or representative. Each of the Parties further represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Settlement Agreement it has placed no reliance on any statement, representation, or promise of

any other party, or any other person or entity not expressly set forth herein, or upon the failure of any party or any other person or entity to make any statement, representation or disclosure of anything whatsoever. The Parties have included this clause: (1) to preclude any claim that any party was in any way fraudulently induced to execute this Settlement Agreement; and (2) to preclude the introduction of parol evidence to vary, interpret, supplement, or contradict the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

- 14. This Settlement Agreement is the final written expression and the complete and exclusive statement of all the agreements, conditions, promises, representations, and covenants between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supercedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements, negotiations, representations, understandings, and discussions between and among the Parties, their respective representatives, and any other person or entity, with respect to the subject matter covered hereby. The provisions of this paragraph are not intended to affect the settlement agreement entered into between the Parties in March 1999 in any manner.
- 15. In that the Parties have had the opportunity to draft, review and edit the language of this Settlement Agreement, no presumption for or against any party arising out of drafting all or any part of this Settlement Agreement will be applied in any action relating to, connected, to, or involving this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Parties waive the benefit of California Civil Code section 1654 and any successor or amended statute, providing that in cases of uncertainty, language of a contract should be interpreted most strongly against the party who caused the uncertainty to exist.
- 16. In the event of any dispute that may arise regarding this Settlement Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 17. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in any number of counter-parts by the Parties, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart to the other Party, each counterpart shall be deemed an original and taken together shall constitute one and the same Settlement Agreement.
- 18. Each Party warrants and represents that such party is fully entitled and duly authorized to enter into and deliver this Settlement Agreement. In particular, and without limiting

1	the generality of the foregoing, each Party warrants and represents that it is fully entitled to enter into			
2	the covenants, and undertake the obligations set forth herein.			
3	19. Growth has read this Settlement Agreement and enters into same voluntarily and			
4	without coercion.			
5	20. Delivery of the executed Settlement Agreement shall be provided to each Party at the			
6	following addresses:			
7	Growth: Frederick M. Ray, Esq.			
8	A Professional Corporation 770 The City Drive, Ste. 8100			
9	Orange, California 92868-6927			
10	Commissioner: Judy L. Hartley			
11	Senior Corporations Counsel Department of Corporations			
12	320 W. 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 750			
13	Los Angeles, CA 90013-2344			
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have approved and executed this Settlement			
15	Agreement on the dates set forth opposite their respective signatures.			
16	Dated:1/7/09 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner			
17				
18	By ALAN S. WEINGER, Lead Corporations Counsel			
19				
20	Dated: GROWTH RESOURCE GROUP, INC.			
21	By			
22	GARRY GLADSTONE, President			
23				
24	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
25	FREDERICK M. RAY, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION			
26				
27	By FREDERICK M. RAY, Attorney for GROWTH			
28	RESOURCE GROUP, INC.			

	1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD	
	2	California Corporations Commissione	
	3	By JUDY L. HARTLEY	
	4		
	5	Senior Corporations Counsel	
	6		
	7		
SI	8		
atioi	9		
State of California – Department of Corporations	10		
<u>5</u>	11		
ıt of	12		
tme	13		
epar	14		
Ĭ 	15		
mia	16		
<u>1110</u>	17		
ı Ca	18		
ite o	19		
Sta	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		